

47

Sherman County 82

2010 Analysis of Agricultural Land

Ratio Study

County # sales

Final Statistics

Median	69%	AAD	9.30%
Mean	72%	COD	13.41%
W. Mean	70%	PRD	101.57%

Market Area 1		Median	0%	AAD	0.00%	
# sales	0	Mean	0%	COD	0.00%	
		W. Mean	0%	PRD	0.00%	

Market Area 2		Median	70%	AAD	9.28%
t sales	16	Mean	73%	COD	13.20%
		W. Mean	69%	PRD	105.56%

Dry/Grass # sales 31	Median	69%	AAD	9.31%		
	31	Mean	71%	COD	13.43%	
		W. Mean	65%	PRD	107.99%	

Preliminary Statistics

Median	66%	AAD	9.76%
Mean	67%	COD	14.69%
W. Mean	64%	PRD	105.31%

Median	0%	AAD	0.00%
Mean	0%	COD	0.00%
W. Mean	0%	PRD	0.00%

Median	63%	AAD	9.50%
Mean	66%	COD	14.98%
W. Mean	62%	PRD	106.40%

Median	69%	AAD	9.90%
Mean	68%	COD	14.29%
W. Mean	64%	PRD	106.79%

Majority Land Use

95% MLU	Irrigated		Dry		Grass	
	#'Sales	Median	# Sales	Median	# Sales	Median
County	0	N/A	2	69.73%	14	70.06%
Mkt Area 1	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Mkt Area 2	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Dry/Grass	0	N/A	2	69.73%	14	70.06%

80% MLU	Irrigated		Dry		Grass	
	# Sales	Median	# Sales	Median	# Sales	Median
County	10	68.62%	3	69.37%	16	69.02%
Mkt Area 1	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Mkt Area 2	10	68.62%	0	N/A	0	N/A
Dry/Grass	0	N/A	3	69.37%	16	69.02%

